UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

	J	
IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ)	3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF
(DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES)	
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY)	MDL No. 2100
LITIGATION)	

This Document Relates to:

Cassandra Clements v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

No. 3:10-cy-10475-DRH-PMF

ORDER

HERNDON, District Judge:

Presently before the Court is defendants' Rule 12(b)(1) motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction filed in accord with Case Management Order Number 70 ("CMO 70") (MDL 210 Doc. 3634). In accord with CMO 70 the plaintiff was given 14 days to respond. The plaintiff has failed to respond in any way to the defendants' motion. The Court deems the failure to respond to be an admission of the merits of the motion. SDIL-LR 7.1(c).

It is evident that diversity jurisdiction is lacking; accordingly, defendants' motion must be granted. In the instant case, the plaintiff appears to be a citizen of New Jersey. Defendant Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc. is also a citizen of New Jersey. Accordingly, complete diversity is lacking and the action must be dismissed. See Wisconsin Dep't of Corr. v. Schacht, 524 U.S. 381, 388 (1998)

¹ Plaintiff's complaint does not properly allege complete diversity. Plaintiff's complaint alleges that she is a resident of New Jersey but is silent as to her citizenship. The instant motion to dismiss is based on a presumption that the plaintiff is a citizen of New Jersey. The plaintiff does not refute this assertion.

(Breyer, J.) (complete diversity exists "only if there is no plaintiff and no defendant who are citizens of the same state").

For the foregoing reasons, defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction is **GRANTED**. The matter is DISMISSED for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 19th day of March, 2015.

Digitally signed by David R.

Herndon

Date: 2015.03.19 15:33:19 -05'00'

United States District Court

Davidration